

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/034,974	12/21/2001	Charles R. Wescott	DYX-024.1 US	2108
26161 75	90 10/14/2004		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON PC			WAX, ROBERT A	
225 FRANKLIN ST BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
boston, ma	. 02110		1653	
			DATE MAILED: 10/14/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/034,974	WESCOTT ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Robert A. Wax	1653			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ess		
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thin iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI stute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commediate of the comme	munication.		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02	2 August 2004.				
,	•	his action is non-final.		٠		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicat 9)□	Claim(s) 1-4,6-10 and 12-35 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-19,25,26 and 3 Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,3,8,9,20-24 and 27-31 is/are re Claim(s) 4,6,7 and 10 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the	a2-35 is/are withdrawn from eacted. d/or election requirement. iner. accepted or b) □ objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	by the Examiner. nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National St	age		
Attachmen	ut(s) De of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice (3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/er No(s)/Mail Date 09252003.	Paper No	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-1)	52)		

Art Unit: 1653

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed September 23, 2003 has been considered. Please see the attached initialed PTO-1449.

Introduction

2. In response to the Ex parte Quayle action mailed June 30, 2004, applicants responded that they were under the impression that the restriction required between sequences was actually an election of species and that the previous election had been made under that premise. Applicants argue that the restriction seemed to be an election of species because of the language, "if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable." Examiner's original intent was to do a secondary restriction, not an election of species. However, Examiner concedes that the language used did imply that an election of species was being required. Hence, prosecution is reopened and the secondary restriction is hereby withdrawn. In view of the minor nature of the rejections of the product claims, applicants are advised that the method claims will be subject to rejoinder when the claims are amended to overcome the rejections presented below. Applicants may wish to amend the withdrawn process claims in response to this Office action to prevent problems arising after rejoinder. One such amendment would be to

Art Unit: 1653

correct the dependency to no longer depend from two canceled claims. Another such amendment would be to spell out the acronyms in claim 21.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 3, 8, 9, 20-24 and 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, in the definition of X_5 , contains Glu twice. Claims 2, 9, 20-24 and 27-31 are included because they depend from claim 1 and do not cure the defect. Claims 3, 4, 6, 7, 8 and 10 are not included because they do cure the defect.

Claim 9 does not further limit claim 8 because the specification of residues X_5 , X_7 , X_{11} and X_{13} are the same in both claims. Claims 20-24 and 27-31 are included because they depend from claim 9 and do not cure the defect.

Claims 3, 8, 9, 20-24 and 27-31 are indefinite due to the presence of the phrase, "or combinations of such selections" because the meaning of that phrase is unclear.

Claims 20-24 and 27-31 are included because they depend from claims 3, 8 and 9 and do not cure the defect.

Application/Control Number: 10/034,974

Art Unit: 1653

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 4

5. Claims 4, 6, 7 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

6. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 20-24 and 27-31 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Examiner has done his best to perform the best search possible and, although many references were discovered, none of them teach peptides that fall completely

within the definitions provided in the claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A. Wax whose telephone number is (571) 272-0623. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, between 9:00 AM and 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon P. Weber can be reached on (571) 272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Robert A. Wax **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 1653

RAW